

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 7

GREGORY D CALDWELL BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

COPY MAILED

1002 R I HUL

OFFICE OF PETITIONS In re Application of A/C PATENTS

Layne Britton

ON PETITION Application No. 09/412,993

Filed: October 5, 1999

Attorney Docket No. 3099P017

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 14, 2001, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is DISMISSED.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is not final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application (Notice) mailed November 1, 1999. The Notice set a period for reply of two (2) months from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on January 2, 2000.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)1 must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37

¹ As amended effective December 1, 1997. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53194-95 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 119-20 (October 21, 1997).

CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lacks item (1).

This application became abandoned for failure to file a reply to the Notice of File Missing Parts of Application (Notice)mailed November 1, 1999. The reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a signed declaration.

Since petitioner has filed to submit the reply required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(1), the petition must be dismissed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, D.C. 20231

By facsimile:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Wan Laymon at (703) 305-9282.

Wan Laymon

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy